

FSC'S LAW & ECONOMICS INSIGHTS

Fisher, Sheehan & Colton, Public Finance and General Economics

"Committed to the power of concentrated expertise widely shared."

<http://www.fsconline.com> (click on "News")

NEWSLETTER ABSTRACTS SEPTEMBER 2000 – FEBRUARY 2018

January/February 2018 Newsletter

This issue presents Part 3 of a three-part series that describes FSC's New Hampshire testimony regarding how to quantify and dollarize the non-energy impacts of low-income energy efficiency investments. This issue focuses on how studies frequently under-value low-income NEIs..

November/December 2017 Newsletter

This issue presents Part 2 of a three-part series that describes FSC's New Hampshire testimony regarding the non-energy impacts of low-income energy efficiency investments. This issue focuses on presenting the dollarized low-income benefits found by various studies of NEIs around the nation..

September/October 2017 Newsletter

This issue presents Part 1 of a three-part series that describes FSC's testimony before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC") regarding the non-energy impacts of low-income energy efficiency investments. This issue focuses on why NEIs should be taken into account.

July/August 2017 Newsletter

This issue describes how local cost-of-living intersects with the level of home electric bills to affect any analysis of home energy affordability. Using California data from the Census and from the Community Council for Economic Research ("C2ER"), of the American Chamber of Commerce Association ("ACCRA"), FSC considers the impact of cost-of-living on home energy affordability.

May/June 2017 Newsletter

One concern frequently expressed about bill affordability programs in which bills are set equal to an affordable percentage of income is that such programs eliminate any incentive for customers to conserve energy. This issue summarizes the various empirical evaluations that, over the past 30-plus years, have examined whether usage increases do, indeed, occur.

March/April 2017 Newsletter

Utilities frequently use non-utility credit reports to establish creditworthiness for utility service and/or clean energy programs. This issue discusses why the use of credit information from external information providers, not using utility payment experience, is inappropriate, while unreasonably and disproportionately harming low-income households.

January/February 2017 Newsletter

One question often posed in response to proposals to impose restrictions on a utility's authority to disconnect service to protected classes is whether the protected customers will simply stop making payments. This issue discusses recent research examining whether shutoff restrictions protecting customers with medical emergencies result in an increase in utility bill nonpayment.

November/December 2016 Newsletter

The Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) is commonly referred to as the nation's largest anti-poverty program. This issue reviews the literature documenting that households receiving EITC benefits use those additional dollars, in large part, to pay utility bills, including past-due utility bills. Water, electricity and natural gas utilities would all benefit from promoting and helping their payment-troubled low-income customers to apply for EITC benefits.

September/October 2016 Newsletter

Not all utility assistance comes in the form of aid to help pay utility bills. This issue reviews recent research finding that the receipt of federal SNAP assistance (formerly known as Food Stamps) has the effect of reducing unpaid utility bills by a substantial percentage. The issue discusses why this might occur and how utilities might promote Food Stamp enrollment.

July/August 2016 Newsletter

The ability to identify hard-to-reach populations, to reach those populations with messaging, to motivate those populations to take desired actions, and to provide the means allowing such actions to occur, is not simply an issue confronting public utilities. These are issues that confront any number of industries and institutions. The water industry would be ill-served to ignore the considerable learning, much of which is summarized in this issue, that has occurred for service providers ranging from health care, to early childhood education, to housing, to social service, to health insurance, and beyond.

May/June 2016 Newsletter

A practice that has long been considered deceptive and abusive in the mortgage, credit card and banking industries has recently reared its head in the public utility industry as well. The practice involves re-sequencing the "posting order" of consumer payments. In short, the practice re-orders consumer transactions, and posts payments against those transactions out of the sequence in which they were incurred, in order to maximize the late fee revenue that can be charged to consumers. This issue explains the law and economics behind a prohibition of such re-sequencing practices by utilities.

March/April 2016 Newsletter

The cold weather disconnection of natural gas service to an elderly Michigan customer resulted in that customer's death by hypothermia. The disconnection occurred despite specific regulations providing cold weather shutoff protections to aging utility customers. This issue explains that while the utility relied on what it deemed to be an offer of assistance included in the text of its winter shutoff notice, numerous factors would have placed a reasonable utility on notice that the shutoff notice would not generate a customer contact. In addition, the issue explains how the utility affirmatively declined to solicit information on the make-up of the customer's household during weeks and months leading up to, and immediately after, the commencement of the cold weather protections when such information would have notified the utility of the applicability of the shutoff restrictions.

January/February 2016 Newsletter

One clear impact of a low-income bill affordability program is the extent to which such a program improves the "price signals" delivered to inability-to-pay customers through utility rates. This issue discusses why as a general rule, utility bills represent an ineffective means to send price signals to low-income customers. Low-income customers, particularly customers with bill burdens exceeding a prescribed level, pay less than their entire bill. As a result, a low-income customer's inability-to-pay for utility service substantially distorts the price signal that consumer receives. When customers cannot afford to pay their utility bills, in other words, price signals are not effective. Improving affordability facilitates rather than impedes price signaling.

November/December 2015 Newsletter

An ongoing debate today questions whether all customer sectors receive their fair share of utility energy efficiency dollars. This issue presents the second part of a two-part series taking a closer look at questions relating to the distribution of utility usage reduction investments in affordable multi-family housing. The discussion concludes that a principled basis in law and economics for explicitly considering the equity of utility investments in multi-family energy efficiency can be found in “environmental justice” doctrine.

September/October 2015 Newsletter

Utility investment in energy usage reduction programs today is viewed as a wise investment. Despite its many benefits, there is an ongoing debate questioning whether all customer sectors receive their fair share of utility investment dollars. This issue presents the first part of a two-part series taking a closer look at those questions involving “fairness,” particularly as they relate to the distribution of utility usage reduction investments in affordable multi-family housing.

July/August 2015 Newsletter

More and more proposals today involve developing an On Bill Repayment (sometimes referred to as On-Bill Financing, or OBF) regime through which utility customers can finance energy efficiency investments. This issue reviews OBF programs and concludes that, irrespective of the extent to which, if at all, a utility might seek to develop an OBF program for non-residential customers, OBF is inappropriate for residential ratepayers. NOTE: This discussion does not distinguish between On-Bill Financing (“OBF”), On-Bill Repayment (“OBR”), Tariff-Implemented Programs (“TIP”), etc. The discussion applies equally to each of these approaches.

May/June 2015 Newsletter

Late payment charges are frequently a component in a public utility’s arsenal of responses to residential nonpayment and late payment. However, both the level of a late payment charge and the decision to impose a late payment charge may not be appropriate in all situations. This issue presents an annotated model state utility commission regulation that governs when late charges should be allowed and how much they should be.

March/April 2015 Newsletter

Fisher, Sheehan and Colton (FSC) was recently asked to prepare an annotated model state utility commission regulation governing the disconnection of service to properties where the customer was not also the resident of the premises which would lose service. This issue presents FSC's model regulation governing service disconnections to residential tenants.

January/February 2015 Newsletter

As proposals expand to provide ratepayer-funded rate affordability assistance today –places such as Colorado and Illinois have added affordability programs in recent years—one question that arises involves the reaction of members of the public who are called upon to pay for such programs. This issue summarizes a series of public opinion surveys documenting the extent to which, if at all, the public is willing to pay increased rates to fund affordability programs.

November/December 2014 Newsletter

Despite its many benefits, there is an ongoing debate regarding the equities of utility investments in usage reduction programs. The debate questions whether all customer sectors receive their fair share of utility investment dollars. Employing principles of environmental justice, FSC recently authored a white paper taking a closer look at those questions involving “fairness,” particularly as they relate to the distribution of utility usage reduction investments in affordable multi-family housing.

September/October 2014 Newsletter

A proposed inclining block rate structure (IBR) delivers affordability benefits to low-income customers even outside the confines of a low-income discount. This issue explains the testimony that FSC recently filed with the Minnesota public utilities commission, in an Xcel Energy electric rate case on behalf of the

Energy CENTS Coalition, supporting the inclining block rate structure proposed by the Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy (MCEA).

July/August 2014 Newsletter

The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) is one of the critical sources of direct federal fuel assistance in the nation today. The LIHEAP statute allows the President to “release” emergency or “contingency” funds if the President finds that any one of several statutorily-prescribed conditions exists. This issue describes a mechanism recently prepared by FSC to track the compounded impact of fuel prices and weather on the adequacy of LIHEAP in any given year. This Fuel Assistance Tracking Mechanism (FATM) is presented as a useful tool in deciding whether and to what extent the release and distribution of LIHEAP contingency funds is merited.

May/June 2014 Newsletter

The public health consequences of service terminations sometimes require that service terminations for nonpayment be delayed or avoided. This issue presents an annotated model regulation regarding “medical certificates” that FSC has prepared for consideration by state regulators.

Mar/April 2014 Newsletter

One of the primary ways to reduce home energy bills, and thus improve affordability, for low-income households is through the pursuit of energy conservation measures. One measure commonly recommended is the reduction of hot water temperatures in the home to 120 degrees (F). This issue explains why while reducing hot water temperature to 120 degrees at the tap may be appropriate, reducing temperatures to 120 degrees in the tank may have adverse public health consequences.

January/February 2014 Newsletter

The discussion in this issue outlines some of the problems that FSC identified with utility allowances that had been promulgated for a Hawaii project-based Section 8 development using an "engineering" analysis. FSC reports that the promulgation of a utility allowance through an "engineering" analysis cannot be completely divorced from actual consumption levels by public or Section 8 tenants. The reasonableness of an "engineering" analysis can, and must, be tested against the usage actually occurring within the tenant population.

November/December 2013 Newsletter

As resources become tighter for distribution as home energy assistance, one eligibility limitation that some program administrators seek to impose involves an assets test. Through an assets test, an otherwise income-eligible household might be excluded from receiving assistance if they own assets with a value beyond prescribed limits. This issue sets forth the basis for concluding that for the federal Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), use of an assets test in eligibility determinations is inappropriate. In any event, the use of an assets test is difficult (and expensive) to administer and contrary to public policy.

September/October 2013 Newsletter

This issue examines whether state utility commissions are authorized to review the Red Flags Plans of public utilities. It concludes that assertions that state regulatory oversight of identity theft prevention by public utilities is pre-empted by the FCRA is not well-founded. Regulatory commissions can assert jurisdiction under the traditional "just and reasonable" test for reviewing the provision of utility service, so long as state commission action is not inconsistent with conduct required by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act. Under FaCTA, states remain free to impose further requirements and prohibitions in areas in which the federal law is silent, as well as supplemental requirements and prohibitions.

July/August 2013 Newsletter

This issue provides information on ways in which utilities have engaged in storm response and storm preparedness actions specifically as those actions relate to public communication with residential customers. Providing adequate communication during storm events is generally found to be a customer

service obligation of a state's utilities. The discussion is based on a review of more than 50 storm preparation assessments from around the nation.

May/June 2013 Newsletter

In response to the growing use of Social Security Numbers (SSNs) in the crime of identity theft, state regulators should take steps to control the collection and dissemination of SSNs by public utilities. This issue reviews comments filed by FSC urging the Minnesota PUC to join the growing consensus that institutions such as public utilities that have collected SSNs in the past should refrain from, or be prohibited from, collecting SSNs in the future, and that Minnesota utilities should be directed to seek out alternatives to the collection and use of SSNs.

March/April 2013 Newsletter

One aspect of utility bills --be they water, natural gas or electricity-- that places a substantial financial burden on low-income households is the cash security deposit that is required when a customer cannot establish "creditworthiness" to the satisfaction of a utility. This issue presents an annotated model regulation governing cash security deposits that FSC prepared for consideration by state regulators. It covers issues ranging from when to ask for deposits (for new and existing customers, to when to refund deposits, to how to set the level of deposits, to the availability of non-cash deposit alternatives).

January/February 2013 Newsletter

The inability-to-pay utility bills often leads to the disconnection of service for low-income customers. This issue presents an annotated model regulation that FSC has prepared for consideration by state regulators governing the disconnection of service for nonpayment, including the timing and type of pre-disconnection notices.

November/December 2012 Newsletter

The Maryland Public Service Commission (PSC) has been considering a legislative directive to make programmatic recommendations on how to address low-income home energy affordability. In work for the Maryland Office of Peoples Counsel (OPC), Fisher, Sheehan & Colton (FSC) was asked to assess what business improvements would be "bought" through implementation of a rate affordability program. This issue presents the FSC analysis on that question.

September/October 2012 Newsletter

The focus of low-income energy assistance is frequently, if not generally, on "preparing for cold weather." The concern behind such efforts is to ensure that no-one freezes to death because of their low-income status. The discussion in this issue, however, notes various tasks that low-income service providers should undertake in various seasons. A "to-do" list for each season is presented.

July/August 2012 Newsletter

Utilities in more than half of all states in the United States have adopted low-income rate affordability programs of one sort or another. Since these programs, by and large, are not funded through utility profits, state legislatures and regulatory commissions have created a variety of cost recovery mechanisms. This issue summarizes the major cost-recovery options that have been adopted by states for low-income assistance programs.

May/June 2012 Newsletter

One area of ongoing concern for advocates and service providers in the low-income energy field involves the difficulties in generating price support and consumer protections for users of bulk fuels. Bulk fuels include fuels such as propane, fuel oil, liquefied natural gas (LNG), and the like. Despite difficulties, there are specific strategies that can be pursued to ensure that the issue of affordable home energy is not limited simply to regulated utilities. This issue describes a recent report that FSC prepared for the Community Action Partnership Association of Idaho (CAPAI) addressing initiatives directed toward users of propane gas.

March/April 2012 Newsletter

Pennsylvania's "Responsible Utility Consumer Protection Statute" went into effect in December 2004. Since then, the Pennsylvania PUC has filed biennial reports on the outcomes generated by that statute with the state legislature. This issue examines the Third Biennial Report to the Legislature in concluding that the statute has interfered with access to utility service, particularly during critical cold-weather months, without generating positive improvements in collection outcomes.

January/February 2012 Newsletter

Under state law, Massachusetts natural gas and electric utilities operate "Arrearage Management Programs" (AMPs). All either utilities offering AMPs file annual data on the success of the plans in reducing low-income arrears. This issue examines the most recent year's data (2010) in concluding that the Massachusetts AMPs fail far more frequently than they succeed in helping low-income customers retire their arrears and fall short in generating substantive improvement in payment performance.

November/December 2011 Newsletter

States continue to struggle with the issue of how, if at all, to provide "high cost support" to promote the affordability of telephone service in rural areas. This issue reviews FSC testimony finding that the affordability of local telephone service in rural areas should be determined on a percentage of income basis. An empirical review of telephone rates in Pennsylvania leads to the recommendation that an appropriate affordability benchmark for rural telephone service can be set at 0.75% of income.

September/October 2011 Newsletter

One of the necessary tools to make available in responding to unpaid utility bills is the deferred payment arrangement (DPA). This issue presents an annotated model DPA regulation that FSC prepared for consideration by state regulators.

July/August 2011 Newsletter

"Utility allowances" provided to Section 8 tenants are a critical component of the nation's affordable housing program. This issue reviews the adequacy of Section 8 utility allowances in Pennsylvania, finding that those allowances do not comply with federal law and the HUD process for ensuring compliance routinely fails.

May/June 2011 Newsletter

Considerable dispute exists over whether low-income status is affirmatively associated with low-use status. This issue identifies cautions that must be considered when a utility bases conclusions on data derived from a study of "median incomes" in its service territory.

March/April 2011 Newsletter

Housing affordability and home energy costs march hand-in-hand. This issue reviews an analysis of rental affordability in Pennsylvania and discusses how low rents do not ensure affordability when routinely coupled with higher than normal energy costs.

January/February 2011 Newsletter

Opponents of affordable rate programs for low-income customers frequently offer a set of ideologically-based objections. This issue directly addresses three "myths" about low-income rates.

November/December 2010 Newsletter

Determining the business benefits of utility rate affordability programs for low-income customers can learn much from the "business cases" built for other social programs. This issue considers the lessons learned for utilities from "social" programs addressing things such as workplace safety, employee and board governance diversity, and multi-culturalism.

September/October 2010 Newsletter

Some utilities oppose affordable rates for low-income customers as being “social ratemaking” best left to legislatures. This issue explains the elements of a “business case” and asserts how the adoption of a low-income program is in a utility’s own self-interest.

July/August 2010 Newsletter

Two primary designs exist for a low-income affordable rate program: a discount program and a percentage of income program. This issue explains the design of each and identifies the policy and program differences.

May/June 2010 Newsletter

Energy efficiency investments directed toward lower income households can serve an important affordable housing function in Pennsylvania. This issue explains how efficiency investments in first time home buyer programs can supplement other affordable housing programs in significant ways. An energy efficiency partnership directed toward first time homebuyers involves every stakeholder making a contribution and every stakeholder receiving a benefit.

March/April 2010 Newsletter

Utilities often seek energy efficiency strategies that leverage the benefits of utility expenditures beyond that which might otherwise be generated through a 100% funded, direct install utility program. This issue explains how Pennsylvania utilities might do that by promoting energy efficient utility allowances for the state’s Section 8 housing units.

January/February 2010 Newsletter

Utilities unquestionably benefit from the delivery of federal LIHEAP assistance. This issue discusses an under-utilized energy assistance program, involving HUD utility allowances for public and assisted housing, that can provide both basic assistance for monthly bills and crisis assistance.

November/December 2009 Newsletter

In the world of utility collections, more is not always better. With shutoff notices in particular, several tests should be applied to determine the propriety of engaging in such activities. Utilities that over-notice the disconnection of service both impede the effectiveness of the notice and contribute to payment problems that need not exist.

September/October 2009 Newsletter

One consumer protection commonly inserted into state public utility commission regulations restricting the disconnection of service involves the protection of customers facing serious medical conditions. This issue discusses barriers to the effective use of medical certificates and how utility regulators should respond.

July/August 2009 Newsletter

Budget-billing is generally considered to be an effective tool for certain limited income customers to use in avoiding short-term arrears on utility bills. This issue identifies barriers that impede the use of budget billing and discusses how to address those barriers.

May/June 2009 Newsletter

Under the Food Stamp program’s excess shelter deduction, to the extent that a household’s total shelter costs exceed 50% of the household’s income, the amount of the excess is deducted from household income. As income goes down, the amount of Food Stamps to which a household is entitled goes up. The Standard Utility Allowance (SUA) can be used to establish household utility expenditures irrespective of the household’s actual utility costs. This issue examines certain issues in setting SUAs to ensure that SUAs accurately capture the utility costs associated with households with high shelter costs.

March/April 2009 Newsletter

What constitutes a “best-in-class” low-income utility rate affordability program? This issue summarizes the findings of a 2008 FSC report that identifies best-in-class criteria and applies those criteria to eight different utility programs throughout the nation.

January/February 2009 Newsletter

The Iowa Utilities Board recently proposed regulations allowing electric utilities to install “service limiters” in the homes of customers who had defaulted on deferred payment plans. This issue reviews the comments of the Iowa Department of Human Rights explaining how and why such technology presents unreasonable health and safety risks to low-income customers.

November/December 2008 Newsletter

National Grid, a natural gas utility serving New England, recently proposed to double the monthly customer charge it charges residential heating customers. This issue explains the testimony filed on behalf low-income intervenors urging that the customer charge proposal is neither consistent with economic theory nor reflective of the fixed charges which small users impose on the utility system.

September/October 2008 Newsletter

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) recently proposed a revenue decoupling mechanism in an electric rate case before the Oregon state utility commission. This issue discusses why low-income intervenors, represented by the Community Action Partnership of Oregon (CAPO) opposed the decoupling proposal as contrary to the interests of low-income customers. It explains why CAPO urged that the PGE decoupling mechanism be disapproved or, if not disapproved in its entirety, what modifications should be ordered in the proposal.

July/August 2008 Newsletter

While it is universally acknowledged that home energy is an essential of modern life today, a recent study finds that the potential loss of home energy service either through involuntary disconnections or voluntary deprivation has public health consequences far beyond those historically discussed. This issue discusses the results of Iowa’s inclusion of energy affordability questions in its 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (“BRFSS”) survey.

May/June 2008 Newsletter

Inverted block “Lifeline” rates present one mechanism for addressing rate affordability issues without special “low-income” rates. This issue discusses how to address a “hardship alleviation” objective through rates, while at the same time serving the public purpose of improving cost-reflectivity.

April/May 2008 Newsletter

Policymakers throughout the country have addressed a number of regulatory and legal issues that are common to programs in their adoption, design and implementation. This issue reports on the completion of a multi-state study of the authorization, design and evaluation of low-income programs in thirteen states.

January/February 2008 Newsletter

As residential customers in states that have adopted retail choice face substantial price increases arising due to the expiration of price caps that have protected customers for the past several years, increasing pressure will be brought to try to mitigate those price increases through the aggregation of low-income customers who receive benefits through a variety of fuel assistance programs. This issue explains how state experiences to date have found that fuel assistance programs and aggregation initiatives do not fit well together.

November/December 2007 Newsletter

The analysis presented summarizes an examination of selected low-income affordability programs currently in operation around the United States as determined by the author to be best-in-class. The purpose of the assessment was three-fold: (1) To articulate a set of standards by which to measure the design and

operation of a low-income rate affordability program; (2) To identify a set of design decisions and implementation practices that favorably distinguish particular programs from their low-income counterparts in other states or service territories; and (3) To apply those standards, design decisions, and implementation practices to a set of programs to determine their prevalence among best-in-class programs.

September/October 2007 Newsletter

Increasingly, inverted block rates are being proposed by electric utilities and environmental advocates as a mechanism through which to provide incentives for customers to conserve power. This issue of the FSC Newsletter considers recent testimony finding, however, that such an inverted block rate structure will harm low-income consumers if the initial block is not appropriately structured.

July/August 2007 Newsletter

Three Indiana utilities have operated low-income rate affordability programs for the past three years. The objectives of the programs, was to respond to spiraling natural gas prices that resulted in corresponding increases in arrears, service disconnections, and bad debt. This issue examines the impacts that Indiana's low-income utility rate affordability programs did, in fact, have on the disconnection of service for nonpayment.

May/June 2007 Newsletter

State utility commission regulations almost universally require utilities to consider "ability to pay" in negotiating a deferred payment plan through which to pay an arrears. This issue of the FSC Newsletter considers multiple facets of "ability to pay" and concludes that "ability to pay" means more than simply "income."

March/April 2007 Newsletter

An increasing number of utility industry stakeholders are today recognizing the benefits of generating uniform annual reports of credit and collection data for all natural gas and electric utilities within a state. Based on its second year of reporting, the Coalition to Keep Indiana Warm identified a set of recommendations that would benefit both customers and companies. This issue summarizes a series of those recommendations.

January/February 2007 Newsletter

Energy efficiency investments can improve the affordability of low-income energy bills. This issue discusses how improvements in furnace efficiency will also generate substantial benefits to developers of affordable housing by preventing the diversion of household resources from paying housing costs to paying spiraling energy bills.

November/December 2006 Newsletter

Low-income utility rate discounts are designed to make bills more affordable. This issue presents evaluation results documenting the impacts on customer payments generated by two Indiana natural gas universal service programs.

September/October 2006 Newsletter

HUD utility allowances provide an important source of energy assistance to low-income tenants. This issue discusses how to evaluate the utility allowance provided by your local housing authority to determine whether the electric usage provided for indoor lighting is adequate.

July/August 2006 Newsletter

Spiraling fuel prices in recent years have given rise to state utility regulatory commissions finding a public health and safety crisis and ordering the public utilities in their jurisdiction to take emergency action in response. This issue examines the emergency actions taken by eight different state commissions.

May/June 2006 FSC Newsletter

Verizon telephone company recently proposed the implementation of a monthly late fee directed toward residential telephone customers in Massachusetts. Fisher, Sheehan & Colton (FSC) submitted comments opposing this fee to the Massachusetts Department and Telecommunications and Energy (DTE) on behalf of Boston's local community action agency, Action for Boston Community Development (ABCD). This issue summarizes those comments. The comments concluded that the proposed late fee was not justified on either cost or policy grounds.

March/April 2006 FSC Newsletter

Information with respect to the propriety of an automatic enrollment mechanism for telephone Lifeline service in light of privacy concerns over the use of information on participation in certain public benefits programs. For purposes of this issue, an "automatic enrollment mechanism" is considered to be any mechanism through which an electronic exchange of information between a local exchange telephone company and a state social services agency confirms the eligibility of public benefits recipients in the Lifeline program whether or not the information exchange is expressly authorized by the household. This issue explains why the electronic exchange of data can be performed for purposes of automatic enrollment as a "routine use" under federal privacy statutes.

January/February 2006 FSC Newsletter

As natural gas and electric prices continue to spiral, an increasing number of utility stakeholders -- government officials and industry staff as well as nonprofit energy service providers-- are taking notice of the affordability impacts that arise for low-income households. Many persons, however, while they can cite various stories of the adverse impacts of unaffordable energy on particular households, have never had occasion to develop a comprehensive Home Energy Affordability Needs Analysis. Fisher, Sheehan & Colton (FSC) presents a template of what such a needs analysis should contain.

November/December 2005 FSC Newsletter

In 2005, the Government of Ontario proposed to allow landlords to retrofit existing multi-family buildings with electrical sub-meters, thus taking electricity out of the rent and separately charging tenants for that service. This issue documents a number of areas of concern with that proposal and explains why policymakers should proceed cautiously prior to enabling landlords to sub-meter without the consent of tenants.

September/October 2005 FSC Newsletter

As state and local policymakers, in addition to nonprofit and public utility staff, seek to find additional ways in which to offer energy assistance to low-income customers facing crisis situations with home heating bills, consideration of the benefits of creating a statewide fuel fund is in order. This issue describes research prepared for the Iowa Community Action Association (ICAA) reviewing the operation of statewide fuel funds across the nation and providing answers to commonly asked questions.

July/August 2005 FSC Newsletter

The Iowa Utilities Board (IUB) has mandated that all Iowa utilities create a "customer contribution fund" through which utility customers may donate money to support private financial assistance for low-income households. Unfortunately, these customer contribution funds for Rural Electric Cooperatives have been less effective than perhaps they might be. This issue describes a proposal to increase funding by asking

REC customers to donate some or all of their annual patronage capital credits to their local customer contribution fund.

May/June 2005 FSC Newsletter

In an effort to quantify the need for energy assistance, Fisher, Sheehan & Colton (FSC) has developed a model that estimates the "home energy affordability gap" for the entire country. Introduced in April 2003 (using 2002 energy prices), FSC found in that initial Home Energy Affordability Gap analysis that the annual "affordability gap" reached roughly \$18.2 billion. In May 2005 (using 2004 energy prices), FSC released the third annual Home Energy Affordability Gap analysis. This issue describes how FSC found that the shortfall between actual home energy bills and affordable home energy bills had increased to \$20.1 billion, an increase of eleven percent (11%) in just three years.

March/April 2005 FSC Newsletter

One issue that faces a local government seeking to establish a water affordability program is how to define what level of water bills is "affordable." This issue reviews existing literature on defining what "water burden" (bill as percent of income) is considered "affordable," particularly with reference to the work of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council's Small Systems Working Group.

January/February 2005 FSC Newsletter

In recent years, the unaffordability of water/sewer bills in the City of Detroit has become a substantial problem. To address these issues, in January 2005, FSC presented a proposed water affordability program to the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department (DWSD) on behalf of the Michigan Poverty Law Program/Michigan Legal Services and their clients. This issue describes that water rate affordability program presented to DWSD.

November/December 2004 FSC Newsletter

State and local jurisdictions receiving federal funds through the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) or HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) programs must file planning documents with HUD called Consolidated Plans. This issue describes comments that FSC filed in six different states urging the respective states to include a discussion of energy prices in their Consolidated Plans. In addition, in each state, FSC recommended three specific remedies for the state to include as a "strategy" to combat the adverse impacts that rising energy prices have on affordable housing programs.

September/October 2004 FSC Newsletter

Households living with incomes between 135% and 150% of the Federal Poverty Level lack sufficient resources to obtain affordable telephone service without Lifeline assistance. This issue describes comments prepared by FSC on behalf of NASUCA describing why the Federal Communications Commission should increase Lifeline income eligibility from 135% to 150% of the FPL.

July/August 2004 FSC Newsletter

Large natural gas users directly contribute to the unaffordable natural gas heating prices faced by the poor. This issue explains testimony presented by FSC explaining why the industrial use of natural gas, as well as spiraling use of gas in electricity generation (used largely by industrial and commercial customers), are substantive contributors to the unaffordability of winter heating fuels used by low-income residential consumers.

May/June 2004 FSC Newsletter

More than a quarter million Missouri households face a daily struggle to cope with energy poverty - an excessive energy cost burden that frequently affects their health and well-being. This issue explains an FSC study for the National Low-Income Energy Consortium (NLIEC) that documents how, in addition to

threatening the ability to retain service, unaffordable home energy contributes substantially to hunger, inadequate housing, educational underachievement, health and safety dangers, and the inability to retain employment among low-income Missouri consumers.

March/April 2004 FSC Newsletter

Some state utility commissions limit enrollment in the state's telephone Lifeline program to those low-income households that do not subscribe to vertical services in addition to basic local service. This issue reviews a report prepared for the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate documenting that low-income households carefully budget their telecommunications expenditures without such prohibitions. The report further looks at the special need that low-income disabled customers have for vertical telecomm services and at how a waiver of a prohibition on such services might be mandated as a "reasonable accommodation" under the federal Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA).

January/February 2004 FSC Newsletter

Missouri Gas Energy (MGE) customers receiving energy assistance through that company's Experimental Low-Income Rate (ELIR) improved their payment patterns relative to low-income customers that did not receive such rate assistance. This issue explains FSC's evaluation of the ELIR program, and examines how the ELIR affected the completeness, timeliness, and regularity of payments.

November/December 2003 FSC Newsletter

In June 2003, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published a revised Public Housing Occupancy Guidebook, adopting virtually all of the modifications relating to the calculation of utility allowances proposed by FSC. This issue presents the new modifications relating to utility allowances included in the revised HUD Guidebook and reviews the factual and policy basis for proposing the modifications in the first instance.

September/October 2003 FSC Newsletter

In determining income eligibility for low-income energy assistance programs, including rate affordability and rate discount programs, public utilities may not count the value of Food Stamps received by a low-income household as "income." This issue presents FSC's analysis of the applicability of the federal Food Stamp statute, which provides that "the value of benefits that may be provided under this chapter. . . shall not be considered income or resources for any purpose under any Federal, State or local laws, including but not limited to, laws relating to taxation, welfare, and public assistance programs, and no participating State or political subdivision thereof shall decrease any assistance otherwise provided an individual or individuals because of the receipt of benefits under this Chapter."

July/August 2003 FSC Newsletter

In an effort to quantify the gap between "affordable" home energy bills and "actual" home energy bills, Fisher, Sheehan & Colton (FSC) has developed a model that estimates the "home energy affordability gap" on a county-by-county basis for the entire country. FSC found that the annual "affordability gap" for 2002 reached roughly \$18.2 billion and that federal fuel assistance provided through the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) covered just a fraction of that gap.

May/June 2003 FSC Newsletter

The delivery of low-income energy efficiency assistance can serve an important affordable housing function in Colorado. This issue describes a recent FSC analysis which found that efficiency investments can: (1) increase the number of low-income households that qualify for first time home ownership opportunities, holding income and purchase prices constant; (2) increase the value of the home (and thus presumably the size or quality of the home) that a low-income first time home owner can afford to buy, holding income constant; and (3) provide substantial economic subsidies to first time homebuyers not only

by providing positive cash flow on a month-to-month basis, but also by effectively reducing interest rates or effectively reducing the overall purchase price of the home.

March/April 2003 FSC Newsletter

The delivery of low-income home energy assistance in Colorado provides a wide range of economic benefits to the state. Frequently thought of exclusively as a way to prevent unpaid utility bills, and to preserve service against termination for nonpayment, in fact, low-income energy assistance can also be viewed as a strategy to promote economic development and employment (particularly in low-income communities). Energy assistance serves as an economic stimulant for the Colorado economy in three distinct ways. In total, the Fiscal Year 2002 distribution of energy assistance in Colorado: (1) created more than \$103 million in economic activity; (2) generated more than \$37 million in added earnings for Colorado workers; and (3) supported more than 2,300 jobs for the state.

January/February 2003 FSC Newsletter

Low-income utility payment problems have a discernible empirical link to both temperature and energy prices. This issue discusses an FSC study, based on 46 months of data from the State of Iowa, that documents the link between high energy prices, cold temperatures and low-income payment troubles. The study then presents an FSC model that projects the change in payment patterns based on changes in temperature and prices and assesses the cost impacts to a utility from protecting against those changes through a “capped bill” program.

November/December 2002 FSC Newsletter

Pre-conditioning the extension of Iowa’s winter shutoff moratorium on the receipt of federal fuel assistance has the effect of disproportionately denying winter health and safety protections to Iowa’s Hispanic community. While not doing so overtly, the requirement that the winter utility shutoff moratorium extends only to households certified eligible for LIHEAP has the effect of excluding Hispanics at a rate that is much higher than is merited by their presence in the Iowa population. As a result, the statute may run afoul of explicit federal prohibitions banning discrimination based on race in the extension of consumer credit.

September/October 2002 FSC Newsletter

Customers of a municipal water utility have the right to be offered deferred payment arrangements through which to pay their arrears, even if that utility is not regulated by a state public service commission. This issue explains three rationales for requiring a municipal water utility to offer deferred payment arrangements: (1) payment plans are required by the utility’s contract with its customers; (2) payment plans are required by the commercially reasonable standards of the utility trade; and (3) disconnecting utility service without offering a payment plan is an “unfair” trade practice under state statutes.

July/August 2002 FSC Newsletter

When state legislatures and public utility commissions adopt programs to redress the unaffordability of home energy, the question of which other customers may legitimately be called upon to pay for such programs presents itself. This analysis considers why all customer classes should bear some responsibility for a share of any charge that supports affordability programs. These charges may involve a system benefit charge imposed on all customer classes. They may alternatively be imposed by the allocation of the costs of such programs over the rates of all customer classes.

May/June 2002 FSC Newsletter

Home energy bills represent one of the highest annual expenditures in a consumer’s budget. Consumers devote roughly 20% of their total shelter expenditures, and 7% of all household expenditures, to utility service. This issue discusses how a buying club for consumers that use fuel oil as their primary heating source can reduce consumer bills by hundreds of dollars of per member per year, while at the same time

generating a financial surplus for the buying club that can be used for initiatives such as low-income fuel assistance and/or weatherization.

March/April 2002 FSC Newsletter

Standard regulations adopted by utility regulators around the country provide that a utility shall take into account "ability to pay" in deciding what payment plans are "reasonable." The phrase "ability to pay" is often treated as being synonymous with "level of income." This issue documents why taking into account the "ability to pay" should involve more than simply taking into account income level. The stability of income is one additional aspect of ability-to-pay, particularly for the working poor.

January/February 2002 FSC Newsletter

Public utilities often argue that a moratorium on winter utility shutoffs results in customers stopping or substantially reducing the payments which they would otherwise make toward their winter bills. This issue discusses an FSC study, based on 38 months of utility payment records from roughly 3,000 LIHEAP recipients in central and northwest Iowa, which finds that the data does not support the conclusion that Iowa's low-income customers stop making their winter bill payments when protected by a winter shutoff moratorium.

November/December 2001 FSC Newsletter

The inability to pay for home energy service generates substantial fire hazards for low-income households. This issue documents the relationship between low-income status and fire deaths, injuries and property damage attributable to home heating equipment. It discusses a role for the insurance industry in helping to provide low-income fuel assistance.

September/October 2001 FSC Newsletter

At the end of the 2000/2001 winter heating season, at least 4.3 million low-income households were at risk of having their power cutoff because of an inability to pay their winter home energy bills. This issue discusses how promotion of the federal Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) by public utilities can bring additional resources to bear on unpaid utility bills, thus helping both low-income customers and the utility companies which serve them.

July/August 2001 FSC Newsletter

Utility companies today are pushing to place more and more customers on meters that require customers to pay in advance. This issue assesses the efficacy of prepayment meters for low-income households in accomplishing household budgeting and energy usage reduction goals. It documents the existence of "hidden shutoffs" generated by the use of prepayment meters in Great Britain.

May/June 2001 FSC Newsletter

The extent to which low-income customers have higher or lower energy consumption than residential customers as a whole has significant utility rate design implications. This issue presents the available national and regional data on the relationship between income, home energy consumption, and home energy expenditures. It documents that low-income customers as a whole have substantially lower energy consumption than do customers on average.

March/April 2001 FSC Newsletter

When utilities provide refunds of overcharged monies, the question arises of whether the customers receiving the refunds are the same customers who paid the overcharges in the first instance. This issue documents how, because of their disproportionate mobility, low-income households disproportionately miss the refunds to which they are entitled and suggests the use of cy pres principles to justify a set-aside of rate refunds for low-income programs.

January/February 2001 FSC Newsletter

Identifying low-income households and being able to deliver education and outreach to them is important, whether for purposes of LIHEAP, or utility-funded low-income rates, or consumer protections. This issue identifies a host of potential outreach and education partnerships, ranging from free and reduced school lunch programs, to the delivery of child immunizations, to the delivery of smoke detectors in "distressed" communities.

November/December 2000 FSC Newsletter

The provision of utility allowances to residents of public and assisted housing subsidized through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is a major source of energy assistance to low-income households. These utility allowances, however, systematically underpay tenants for their hot water consumption. This issue identifies and evaluates factors to be used in determining an appropriate level of assistance to be paid for hot water.

September/October 2000 FSC Newsletter

Sharp escalations in home energy bills can be used to increase a low-income household's receipt of Food Stamps each month. This issue explains the process for calculating the utility cost component of the "excess shelter deduction" used in determining a household's eligibility for Food Stamps as well as the level of Food Stamps to which a household is entitled.

Fisher, Sheehan & Colton
Public Finance and General Economics
34 Warwick Road, Belmont, MA 02478
(voice) 617-484-0597 *** (fax) 617-484-0594
(e-mail) roger@fsconline.com

<http://www.fsconline.com> *** <http://www.HomeEnergyAffordabilityGap.com>